IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

| WILLIAM PATRICK, |) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |))) Civil No. 05-3035-CO |
| v. |) |
| JUDGE RICHARD MICKELSON, |) ORDER) |
| Defendant. | ,) |
| |) |

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation on June 21, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Cooney's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation filed June 21, 2005, in its entirety. Defendant's motion to dismiss (#4) is granted, and judgment will be entered dismissing this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this

22 day of

2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE